arXiv:0811.4307v2 [quant-ph] 4 Jan 2010

Nonequilibrium thermal Casimir–Polder forces

S Y Buhmann and S Scheel

Quantum Optics and Laser Science, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London,
Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom

E-mail: `s.buhmann@imperial.ac.uk`

Abstract. We study the nonequilibrium Casimir–Polder force on an atom prepared in an incoherent superposition of internal energy-eigenstates, which is placed in a magnetoelectric environment of nonuniform temperature. After solving the coupled atom–field dynamics within the framework of macroscopic quantum electrodynamics, we derive a general expression for the thermal Casimir–Polder force.

PACS numbers: 12.20.–m, 42.50.Ct, 42.50.Nn,

1. Introduction

The thermal fluctuations of the electromagnetic field present at finite temperature may interact with a single atom or molecule, resulting in the thermal Casimir–Polder (CP) force. It has been studied theoretically via linear response theory [1], Lifshitz theory [2], quantum electrodynamics (QED) [3] as well as heuristic generalisations of the zero-temperature result [4] and experimentally by spectroscopic means [5].

Thermal CP forces in nonequilibrium scenarios have recently received an increased attention, where two cases can be distinguished. A nonequilibrium environment can be realised when an atom interacts with a body whose temperature differs from the environment temperature [6]. Alternatively, situations have been considered where the environment is at global thermal equilibrium with a uniform temperature, but one or two present atoms are not fully thermalised with their local environment [7, 8]. In the present paper, we generalise these two special cases to the full nonequilibrium situation of an atom in a nonequilibrium state placed within an arbitrary magnetoelectric environment of nonuniform temperature. We use macroscopic QED (recalled in Sec. 2) to calculate the CP force from the thermal average of the quantum Lorentz force (Sec. 3) followed by a short summary (Sec. 4).

2. Macroscopic quantum electrodynamics at finite temperature

Consider an environment of dispersive and absorbing magnetoelectrics of (relative) electric permittivity $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)$ and a magnetic permeability $\mu(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)$, which are both satisfying the Kramers–Kronig relations. This environment together with its electromagnetic field can be characterised by a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_F = \sum_{\lambda=e,m} \int \mathrm{d}^3r \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\, \hbar\omega\, \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda^\dagger(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)$ [9, 10], where the bosonic variables $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda^\dagger$ are associated with the noise polarisation ($\lambda = e$) and magnetisation ($\lambda = m$) of the media. When a single atom or molecule with Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_A = \sum_n E_n|n\rangle\langle n|$ (E_n: eigenenergies, $|n\rangle$: molecular eigenstates) is placed at position $\boldsymbol{r}_A$ in this environment, it interacts with the electromagnetic field via an electric-dipole Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{AF} = -\sum_{m,n} \boldsymbol{d}_{mn}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}(\boldsymbol{r}_A)\hat{A}_{mn}$ ($\boldsymbol{d}_{mn} = \langle m|\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}|n\rangle$, $\hat{A}_{mn} = |m\rangle\langle n|$), so that the total Hamiltonian of the system reads $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_F + \hat{H}_{AF}$.

The electric field can be expressed in terms of the dynamical variables according to

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\, \underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}}(\boldsymbol{r},\omega) + \text{H.c.} = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega \sum_{\lambda=e,m} \int \mathrm{d}^3r'\, \mathbf{G}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}',\omega) + \text{H.c.}, \tag{1}$$

with the coefficients $\mathbf{G}_\lambda$ being related to the classical Green tensor, $\mathbf{G}$, by

$$\mathbf{G}_e(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega) = \mathrm{i}\,\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\pi\varepsilon_0}\,\mathrm{Im}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{r}',\omega)}\,\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega), \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_m(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega) = \mathrm{i}\,\frac{\omega}{c}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\pi\varepsilon_0}\,\frac{\mathrm{Im}\mu(\boldsymbol{r}',\omega)}{|\mu(\boldsymbol{r}',\omega)|^2}}\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}'\times\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r}',\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\right]^{\mathsf{T}}. \tag{3}$$

The Green tensor is the unique solution to the Helmholtz equation

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\frac{1}{\mu(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times-\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\,\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\right]\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega) = \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}') \tag{4}$$

with $\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega)\to\mathbf{0}$ for $|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}'|\to\infty$, where the above definitions imply

$$\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int \mathrm{d}^3s\, \mathbf{G}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},\omega)\cdot\mathbf{G}_\lambda^{*\mathsf{T}}(\boldsymbol{r}',\boldsymbol{s},\omega) = \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{\pi}\,\omega^2\mathrm{Im}\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}',\omega). \tag{5}$$

For a nonuniform temperature $T = T(\boldsymbol{r})$ the thermal state of the environment is described by $\hat{\rho}_T = \exp\{-\int \mathrm{d}^3r\, \hat{H}_\mathrm{F}(\boldsymbol{r})/[k_\mathrm{B}T(\boldsymbol{r})]\}/\,\mathrm{Tr}(\exp\{-\int \mathrm{d}^3r\, \hat{H}_\mathrm{F}(\boldsymbol{r})/[k_\mathrm{B}T(\boldsymbol{r})]\})$ [$\hat{H}_\mathrm{F} = \int \mathrm{d}^3r\, \hat{H}_\mathrm{F}(\boldsymbol{r})$, k_B: Boltzmann constant]. The relevant nonvanishing thermal averages of the dynamical variables are thus given by

$$\left\langle\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda^\dagger(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\lambda'}(\boldsymbol{r}',\omega')\right\rangle = n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega'), \tag{6}$$

$$\left\langle\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{r}',\omega')\right\rangle = [n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)+1]\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega') \tag{7}$$

where $n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\omega) = 1/(\exp\{\hbar\omega/[k_\mathrm{B}T(\boldsymbol{r})]\}-1)$ is the average thermal photon number.

3. The thermal Casimir–Polder force

The thermal CP force on an atom prepared in an incoherent superposition of internal energy-eigenstates can in electric dipole approximation be found from the average Lorentz force [10, 11] $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,t) = \left\langle\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}(\boldsymbol{r})\right]_{\boldsymbol{r}=\boldsymbol{r}_A}\right\rangle$. In order to evaluate this expression, one needs to solve the coupled atom–field dynamics. Using the Hamiltonian given in Sec. 2, one finds

$$\dot{\hat{A}}_{mn} = \mathrm{i}\omega_{mn}\hat{A}_{mn} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\sum_k\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\hat{A}_{mk} - \boldsymbol{d}_{km}\hat{A}_{kn}\right)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}(\boldsymbol{r}_A), \tag{8}$$

$$\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\omega) = -\mathrm{i}\omega\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\omega) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\sum_{m,n}\boldsymbol{d}_{mn}\cdot\mathbf{G}^{*}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\hat{A}_{mn}. \tag{9}$$

We eliminate the field by formally integrating the second of these equations and substituting the result back into the first one, which we arrange in normal ordering. After invoking the integral relation (5), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{A}}_{mn}(t) = \mathrm{i}\omega_{mn}\hat{A}_{mn}(t) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\sum_{k}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\big\{&\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}\underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\omega)\cdot\big[\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\hat{A}_{mk}(t) - \boldsymbol{d}_{km}\hat{A}_{kn}(t)\big]\\ &+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t}\big[\hat{A}_{mk}(t)\boldsymbol{d}_{nk} - \hat{A}_{kn}(t)\boldsymbol{d}_{km}\big]\cdot\underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\omega)\big\} + \hat{Z}_{mn}(t),\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z}_{mn}(t) = \frac{\mu_0}{\hbar\pi}\sum_{k,l,j}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\,\omega^2\boldsymbol{d}_{kl}\cdot\mathrm{Im}\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r}_A,\omega)\cdot\int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau\big\{&\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega(t-\tau)}\big[\boldsymbol{d}_{jm}\hat{A}_{jn}(t)\\ -\boldsymbol{d}_{nj}\hat{A}_{mj}(t)\big]\hat{A}_{kl}(\tau) + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega(t-\tau)}\hat{A}_{kl}(\tau)\big[\boldsymbol{d}_{nj}\hat{A}_{mj}(t) - \boldsymbol{d}_{jm}\hat{A}_{nj}(t)\big]\big\}\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

denoting the zero-point contribution to the internal atomic dynamics. The thermal contribution can be determined iteratively by substituting the self-consistent solution

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_{mn}(t) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}_{mn}t}\hat{A}_{mn} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\sum_{k}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}_{mn}(t-\tau)}&\\ \times\big[\hat{A}_{mk}(\tau)\boldsymbol{d}_{nk} - \hat{A}_{kn}(\tau)\boldsymbol{d}_{km}\big]\cdot\big[\underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega\tau} + \mathrm{H.c.}\big]&\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

to the truncated Eq. (10) [without $\hat{Z}_{mn}(t)$] back into Eq. (10) and taking thermal expectation values with the aid of Eqs. (6) and (7). This leads to a closed system of equations $\langle\dot{\hat{A}}_{mn}\rangle = \mathrm{i}\omega_{mn}\langle\hat{A}_{mn}\rangle + \langle\hat{Z}_{mn}\rangle + \langle\hat{T}_{mn}\rangle$ with a thermal contribution

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\hat{T}_{mn}(t)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\sum_{k,l}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int \mathrm{d}^3r\,n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau\big[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega(t-\tau)} + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega(t-\tau)}\big]&\\ \times\big\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}_{mk}(t-\tau)}\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\cdot\mathbf{G}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\cdot\mathbf{G}^{*\mathsf{T}}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\cdot\big[\boldsymbol{d}_{kl}\langle\hat{A}_{ml}(\tau)\rangle - \boldsymbol{d}_{lm}\langle\hat{A}_{lk}(\tau)\rangle\big]&\\ -\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}_{kn}(t-\tau)}\boldsymbol{d}_{km}\cdot\mathbf{G}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\cdot\mathbf{G}^{*\mathsf{T}}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\cdot\big[\boldsymbol{d}_{nl}\langle\hat{A}_{kl}(\tau)\rangle - \boldsymbol{d}_{lk}\langle\hat{A}_{ln}(\tau)\rangle\big]\big\}.&\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Assuming the atom–field coupling to be sufficiently weak, we can apply the Markov approximation by writing $\langle\hat{A}_{mn}(\tau)\rangle \simeq \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}_{mn}(t-\tau)}\langle\hat{A}_{mn}(t)\rangle$ and letting the lower limit of the time integrals tend to minus infinity, so that $\int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x(t-\tau)} \simeq \pi\delta(x) + \mathrm{i}\mathcal{P}/x$. For a nondegenerate system, the off-diagonal elements of the (internal) atomic density matrix $\hat{\sigma}$ decouple from each other as well as from the diagonal ones, and one finds that internal atomic dynamics follows the rate equations

$$\dot{\sigma}_{nn}(t) = -\Gamma_n\sigma_{nn}(t) + \sum_k \Gamma_{kn}\sigma_{kk}(t), \tag{14}$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_{mn}(t) = \big[-\mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}_{mn} - \tfrac{1}{2}(\Gamma_m + \Gamma_n)/2\big]\sigma_{mn}(t) \qquad \text{for } m \neq n \tag{15}$$

($\sigma_{mn} = \langle m|\hat{\sigma}|n\rangle = \langle\hat{A}_{nm}\rangle$). As follows from Eqs. (11) and (13), the total loss rates read

$$\Gamma_n = \sum_k \Gamma_{nk} = \frac{2\mu_0}{\hbar}\sum_k \tilde{\omega}^2_{nk}\Theta(\tilde{\omega}_{nk})\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\cdot\mathrm{Im}\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r}_A,|\tilde{\omega}_{nk}|)\cdot\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2}\sum_k\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int\mathrm{d}^3r\,[\Theta(\tilde{\omega}_{nk})n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\tilde{\omega}_{nk})+\Theta(\tilde{\omega}_{kn})n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\tilde{\omega}_{kn})]\\
&\qquad\times\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},|\tilde{\omega}_{nk}|)\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}^{*\mathsf{T}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},|\tilde{\omega}_{nk}|)\!\cdot\!\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

and the shifts of atomic transition frequencies $\tilde{\omega}_{mn}=\omega_{mn}+\delta\omega_m-\delta\omega_n$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\omega_n=\sum_k\delta\omega_{nk}&=\frac{\mu_0}{\pi\hbar}\sum_k\mathcal{P}\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\,\omega^2\,\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\!\cdot\!\mathrm{Im}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r}_A,\omega)\!\cdot\!\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}}{\tilde{\omega}_{nk}-\omega}\\
&+\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\sum_k\mathcal{P}\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int\mathrm{d}^3r\left[\frac{n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)}{\tilde{\omega}_{nk}-\omega}+\frac{n_T(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)}{\tilde{\omega}_{nk}+\omega}\right]\\
&\qquad\times\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}^{*\mathsf{T}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r},\omega)\!\cdot\!\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

Note that we have replaced the Green tensor with its scattering part $\mathbf{G}^{(1)}$ for the zero-point frequency shift since the free-space zero-point Lamb shift is thought to be already included in the bare transition frequencies ω_{mn}.

Having solved the atom–field dynamics, we can now evaluate the average Lorentz force by using Eqs. (1), (6), (7), (12) and the solution to Eq. (9). After invoking the correlation function $\langle\hat{A}_{mn}(t)\hat{A}_{kl}(\tau)\rangle=\delta_{nk}\langle\hat{A}_{ml}(\tau)\rangle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\Omega_{mn}(t-\tau)}$ $[\Omega_{mn}=\tilde{\omega}_{mn}+\mathrm{i}(\Gamma_m+\Gamma_n)/2]$ which follows from Eq. (15) via the quantum regression theorem [12], one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,t)&=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\sum_{n,k}\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int\mathrm{d}^3s\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},\omega)\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}^{*\mathsf{T}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{s},\omega)\!\cdot\!\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}\big|_{\boldsymbol{r}=\boldsymbol{r}_A}\\
&\times\int_0^t\mathrm{d}\tau\,\langle\hat{A}_{nn}(\tau)\rangle\big\{n_T(\boldsymbol{s},\omega)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\omega+\Omega_{nk})(t-\tau)}+[n_T(\boldsymbol{s},\omega)+1]\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\omega-\Omega_{nk})(t-\tau)}\big\}+\mathrm{c.c.}
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

Using the Markov approximation in the form $\int_0^t\mathrm{d}\tau\,\langle\hat{A}_{nn}(\tau)\rangle\ldots\simeq\langle\hat{A}_{nn}(t)\rangle\int_{-\infty}^t\mathrm{d}\tau\,\ldots$, the thermal CP force for an atom prepared in an incoherent superposition of internal energy-eigenstates is given by $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,t)=\sum_n\sigma_{nn}(t)\boldsymbol{F}_n(\boldsymbol{r}_A)$ with force components

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{F}_n(\boldsymbol{r}_A)&=\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_k\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int\mathrm{d}^3s\left\{\frac{n_T(\boldsymbol{s},\omega)+1}{\omega-\Omega_{nk}}-\frac{n_T(\boldsymbol{s},\omega)}{\omega+\Omega_{nk}}\right\}\\
&\qquad\times\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},\omega)\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}^{*\mathsf{T}}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{s},\omega)\!\cdot\!\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}\big|_{\boldsymbol{r}=\boldsymbol{r}_A}+\mathrm{c.c.}
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

An important special case is that of an equilibrium environment at temperature T. Applying Eq. (5) to the $\boldsymbol{s}$-integral in Eq. (19) and transforming the ω-integral by means of contour-integral techniques, one obtains in the perturbative limit $(\Omega_{nk}\simeq\omega_{nk})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{F}_n(\boldsymbol{r}_A)&=-\mu_0k_\mathrm{B}T\sum_{N=0}^\infty\big(1-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{N0}\big)\xi_N^2\boldsymbol{\nabla}_A\,\mathrm{Tr}\big[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n(\mathrm{i}\xi)\!\cdot\!\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r}_A,\mathrm{i}\xi_N)\big]\\
&+\mu_0\sum_k\big\{\Theta(\omega_{nk})[n(\omega_{nk})+1]-\Theta(\omega_{kn})n(\omega_{kn})\big\}\omega_{nk}^2\boldsymbol{\nabla}_A\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\!\cdot\!\mathrm{Re}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r}_A,\Omega_{nk})\!\cdot\!\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

($\xi_N=2\pi k_\mathrm{B}TN/\hbar$, Matsubara frequencies), where the atomic polarisability reads

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n(\omega)=\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_k\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}}{-\omega_{nk}-\omega-\mathrm{i}\epsilon}+\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{kn}\boldsymbol{d}_{nk}}{-\omega_{nk}+\omega+\mathrm{i}\epsilon}\right].
\tag{21}
$$

This is in agreement with previous results [7]. Equations (14)–(16) show that in the long-time limit one has $\hat{\sigma}(t\to\infty)=\hat{\sigma}_T=\exp[-\hat{H}_A/(k_\mathrm{B}T)]/\operatorname{Tr}\exp[-\hat{H}_A/(k_\mathrm{B}T)]$, and the Lifshitz force $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,t\to\infty)=-\mu_0 k_\mathrm{B}T\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\bigl(1-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{N0}\bigr)\xi_N^2\alpha_T(\mathrm{i}\xi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}_A\operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_A,\boldsymbol{r}_A,\mathrm{i}\xi_N)$ is recovered with a thermal polarisability $\alpha_T(\omega)=\sum_n\sigma_{T,nn}\alpha_n(\omega)$.

4. Summary

We have used macroscopic QED to obtain a general expression for the CP force on an atom which is placed in an arbitrary magnetoelectric environment of nonuniform temperature and whose initial internal state may be an arbitrary superposition of internal energy-eigenstates. Our result reduces to previous ones in the special case of uniform temperature.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

References

[1] McLachlan A D 1963 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **274** 80; Henkel C, Joulain K, Mulet J-P and Greffet J-J 2002 *J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt.* **4** S109.

[2] Lifshitz E M 1956 *Sov. Phys. JETP* **2** 73; Dzyaloshinskii I E, Lifshitz E M and Pitaevskii L P 1961 *Adv. Phys.* **10** 165; Babb J F, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 042901; Blagov E V, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2005 *Phys. Rev. B* **71** 235401; Klimchitskaya G L, Blagov E V and Mostepanenko V M 2006 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 6481; Bordag M, Geyer B, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2006 *Phys. Rev. B* **74** 205431; Blagov E V, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2007 *Phys. Rev. B* **75** 235413.

[3] Nakajima T, Lambropoulos P and Walther H 1997 *Phys. Rev. A* **56** 5100; Gorza M-P and Ducloy M 2006 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **40** 343.

[4] Israelachvili J N 1974 *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **6** 341; Boyer T H 1975 *Phys. Rev. A* **11** 1650; Nabutovskiĭ V M, Belosludov V R and Korotkikh A M 1979 *Sov. Phys. JETP* **50** 352; Boström M and Sernelius B E 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 052703.

[5] Marrocco M, Weidinger M, Sang R T and Walther H 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** 5784; Chaves de Souza Segundo P, Hamdi I, Fichet M, Bloch D and Ducloy M 2007 *Laser Phys.* **17** 983.

[6] Antezza M, Pitaevskii L P and Stringari S 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 053619; Antezza M, Pitaevskii L P and Stringari S 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 113202; Obrecht J M, Wild R J, Antezza M, Pitaesvkii L P, Stringari S and Cornell E A 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 063201.

[7] Buhmann S Y and Scheel S 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 253201.

[8] Sherkunov Y 2008 Dispersion interaction between two atoms in electromagnetic fields *Preprint* arXiv:0806.2620.

[9] Ho Dung Trung, Buhmann S Y, Knöll L, Welsch D-G, Scheel S and Kästel J 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **68** 043816.

[10] Buhmann S Y and Welsch D-G 2007 *Prog. Quantum Electron.* **31** 51.

[11] Buhmann S Y, Knöll L, Welsch D-G and Ho Dung Trung 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 052117.

[12] Vogel W and Welsch D-G 2006 *Quantum Optics* (Berlin: Wiley-VCH) 3rd revised and extended edition.